## PART A — Submission

### A.1. General Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.1.a. Title</th>
<th>CSD0104 Redraft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1.b. Company</td>
<td>CMA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change Proposals must be authorised by the person designated by the signatory to the Market Code Framework / Accession Agreement

| A.1.c. Authorised Signature | | Name |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Neil Cohen |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.1.d. Contact Name</th>
<th>Neil Cohen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Email; Tel/Mob.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Neil.cohen@cmascotland.co.uk">Neil.cohen@cmascotland.co.uk</a> 0117 942 3272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.1.e. Associated MCCP / OCCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.1.f. Associated Docs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.1.g. Proposed Urgency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Urgent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.1.h. Reasons for Urgency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1
A.2. MCCP / OCCP DETAILS

A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS
Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)

This proposal is one of a sequence of MCCPs that have been put forward from time to time by the CMA, as part of an ongoing review of CSD drafting. The issues that are generally considered as part of this ongoing review are:

- Ease of interpretation of the CSD by Parties,
- Ability of Parties to identify where changes may be required within a CSD, either for a change specifically to that CSD, or for a consequential change arising from changes to other CSDs and the Market Code and
- Ease of consolidating changes to the CSD by the CMA, as part of the bi-annual Release project process.

The above review has highlighted that the current CSD that might benefit most from some form of re-drafting is CSD0104.

This CSD has been subject to a relatively large number of changes since market opening and includes large numbers of distinct, but related, processes. For many of these processes, there is a good deal of duplicated text, but often the style and layout of these differ from place to place within the document.

A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS
Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

General Description

This proposal seeks to re-draft CSD0104 and in so doing, deliver the following benefits:

- Improve the separation of different areas of data update, in particular by differentiating between the SPID level data updates and the Meter related data updates, by creating a Part 1 and a Part 2 of the CSD.
- Remove duplication; for example, by identifying common process elements, where this is applicable.
- Improve consistency of style and layout

It should be noted that this proposal is solely associated with editorial changes and no changes to the underlying processes and obligations are proposed, with one exception. One additional change has been incorporated, to recognise that Scottish Water may also update the new data item; the D2044 RV Transition Flag (which has been added to the T012.7 transaction and the associated T012.8 notification, as part of the Live RV changes originally covered under MCCP214 – CC and also in MCCP219 Feb CSD Drafting.

Principles and Objectives affected
CMA Guidance Note GN009 may be referred to for assistance with this section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPLE</th>
<th>AFFECTED (Y/N)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportionality</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Improved and simplified documentation will be delivered, at no explicit cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Obligations and processes for SPID data updates will be made more easily understandable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>The CSD will be made simpler to follow and involve less time and effort to maintain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-exclusivity</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barriers to Entry</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>It will be easier for new entrants to assess and understand what obligations they may need to fulfil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Contact</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-discrimination</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC / OC Objectives</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A.2.c. IMPACT
Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONFIGURED ITEM</th>
<th>IMPACTED (Y/N)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC / OC</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDs</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>See attached CSD0104 updates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Services Agreements</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA Central Systems</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA business processes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading Party systems</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading party business processes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT

Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

See Annex 1 and Annex 2 to this MCCP.

### A.3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

#### A.3.a. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME

Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.

January 2018.

#### A.3.b. ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

The one non-editorial change to CSD0104 is required to take effect in February 2018, along with the Live RV changes (MCCP214 – CC and MCCP219) and delivering this update in parallel to these changes avoids the need to update the current drafting and then update to the new style.

### A.4. ANY OTHER COMMENTS

The change to incorporate SW’s ability to update the D2044 RV Transition Flag, being the only non-editorial change, has been highlighted in red.
## PART B — TP ASSESSMENT

### B.1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT START DATE</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT END DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017-04-05</td>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **B.1.a.** Assessment Start Date: 2017-04-05
- **B.1.b.** Impact Assessment Requirement: IA not required
- **B.1.c.** Consultation Requirement: TP consultation required
- **B.1.d.** Associated Documents (to this Part B):  

### B.2. ASSESSMENT DETAILS

- **B.2.a.** Change Spec and Impact (if different from that originally submitted):

- **B.2.b.** CMA Internal Systems Impact:

- **B.2.c.** Draft Legal Text (if different from that originally submitted):

- **B.2.d.** TP Assessment:  
  Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)

  **Impact on Principles and Objectives**  
  (if different from that originally submitted):  

  **Cost Estimate**: No explicit costs are incurred.

  **Benefit Estimate**  
  (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k):  
  Reduced enquiries from Parties, reduced effort for updates, reduced risk of issues arising requiring remedial changes and enhanced ease of market entry. Assume M.

### B.3. TP DECISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TP APPROVED / REJECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP APPROVED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS

### B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DATE

| February 2018 |

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Withdrawn by proposer?</strong></th>
<th><strong>Yes / No</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of withdrawal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL**

| C.1. DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION |  |
| C.2. APPROVAL STATUS | APPROVED CHANGE / REJECTED |
| C.3. DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS | yyyy-mm-dd |
| C.4. COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE |  |

**PART D — IMPLEMENTATION**

| D.1. IMPLEMENTATION DATE | yyyy-mm-dd |
| D.2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.) |  |